

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address, COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C., 20201 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,255	08/22/2001	Ronald A. Weimer	MTI-31529	1208
31870	7590 03/11/2003			
WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S.C. 111 E. WISCONSIN AVE. SUITE 2100			EXAMINER	
			CHEN, JACK S J	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary





Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/935,255

Weimer

Examiner

Jack Chen

Art Unit 2813



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for F	Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
	of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no of this communication.	o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) X Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 17, 20</u>	002			
2a) 🗌 Thi	is action is FINAL . 2b) $ \overline{\chi} $ This action	on is non-final.			
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	of Claims				
4) X Cla	aim(s) <u>1-57 and 73-121</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) (Of the above, claim(s) <u>18-57 and 73-96</u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🛄 Cla	aim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🗌 Cla	aim(s)	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 Cla	aim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗓 Cla	ims 1-17 and 97-121	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application	n Papers				
9) 🗌 Th	e specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🗆 Th					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. [application from the International Burea				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
	The translation of the foreign language provisional				
	cknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachment(-				
		4) Therview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. In response to the communications dated December 17, 2002, claims 1-57, 73-121 are active in this application.
- 2. Claims 18-57, 73-96 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed December 17, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the species would NOT impose a serious burden on the Examiner. The Examiner strongly disagrees because this proposed processes show numerous methods for forming the silicon layer, and the silicon nitride barrier layer as shown in the previous office action dated on 11/19/2002. And this proposed methods for forming the silicon layer, and the silicon nitride barrier layer would require a diversity field of search and it would require undue burdensome search to examine all different species. Furthermore, it is noted that applicant has not responded to the paragraph 5 of the pervious action dated on 11/19/2002, which states "Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention."

The Examiner thanks applicant for pointing out the possible error regarding claim 80, the corrected species 22 should read "claim 80 drawn to method for forming a silicon layer having specific thickness at a specified low partial pressure".

In view of the new added claims (claims 97-121), a further restriction requirement is needed. The Examiner apologizes for the inconvenience.

Election/Restriction

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1, claim 99 drawn to method for forming silicon layer by CVD.

Species 2, claim 100 drawn to method for forming silicon layer by RTCVD.

Species 3, claim 101 drawn to method for forming silicon layer by PECVD.

Species 4, claim 102 drawn to method for forming silicon layer by LPCVD.

Species 5, claim 103 drawn to method for forming silicon nitride layer by thermally annealing in nitrogen-containing gas.

Species 6, claim 107 drawn to method for forming silicon nitride layer by using plasma source of nitrogen.

Species 7, claim 109 drawn to method for forming silicon nitride layer by using remote microwave plasma source of nitrogen.

Species 8, claim 111 drawn to method for forming silicon nitride layer by using inductive couple plasma source of nitrogen.

Species 9, claim 120 drawn to method for forming gate stack by forming barrier layer over the doped polysilicon layer.

Species 10, claim 121 drawn to method for forming gate stack by forming metal silicide layer over the doped polysilicon layer.

- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/935,255

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct.

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37)

CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2813

8.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention. the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(1).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Jack Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-5838. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday (alternate Monday off) from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached on (703)308-4940. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular

communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Sallel

Jack Chen

March 10, 2003